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Motivation

- Communication processing can be offloaded from host CPU to NIC programmable processors in modern NICs
  - Myrinet, Quadrics, Alteon, etc.
- Benefits have been exploited in collective operations, such as barrier, broadcast, reduce, etc.
- A NIC-based collective protocol integrated to support all different collectives in a single package
Benefits of NIC-Based Collective Operations

- Reduced latency
  - Avoiding round-trip across PCI bus with forwarding
  - Transparent pipelining of multi-packet messages
- Reduced host CPU involvement
- Overlapped computation with the communication
  - Host can compute while NIC performs communication
  - Allow for non-blocking or split-phase operations
A NIC-based Collective Protocol

• Offload and integrate a minimal set of Collective operations into the NIC
• Present to the system and library developers an extended collective API, which is built on top of a small set of NIC offloaded collective operations
• Previous work have integrated broadcast and barrier into a collective package
Framework for NIC-based Collective Protocol
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All-to-All Broadcast and NIC Offloading

• **All-to-All Broadcast**
  - Every process broadcasts the same message to others
  - Every process receives messages from all others
  - One of most densely communicating operation

• **NIC programmable processors**
  - Limited processing power, usually 5-7 times slower
  - Limited memory, up to 64MB, 2 or 8MB over Myrinet
  - Must also handle basic point-to-point traffic
Is an Efficient NIC-based All-to-All Broadcast Feasible?

• Data Communication
  - NIC-based broadcast is beneficial
  - NIC-based data forwarding saves more copying cost
  - NIC-based data aggregation reduces the number of packets

• Synchronization pattern
  - Every process communicates with every other processes, just like barrier, which is beneficial if NIC offloaded
  - Inherent synchronization, easy memory management across different all-to-all broadcast operations
    • two sets of buffers needed, reduced resource constraints
Presentation Outline

• Motivation
• Design Challenges and Implementation
  - Overview of Myrinet
  - Design Challenges
  - Implementation
• Performance Evaluation
• Conclusions and Future Work
Overview of Myrinet/GM

- Myrinet NIC components
  - NIC processor
  - Host DMA engine
  - Network DMA engines (send and recv)
  - CRC/Copy engine (LANai-X)
Send over Myrinet/GM

- Sending a message
  - Initiation: post a send request as a send event
  - Transmission:
    - Transform event into a send token, and queue it
    - Process the token and prepare the packet(s)
    - Inject the packet(s) to the network
  - Reliability & Retransmission
    - Record the progress of a packet with a send record
    - Remove a send record as ack’s coming back
  - Completion
    - when all records are acknowledged.
Receive over Myrinet/GM

• Receiving a message
  - Initiation: post a receive buffer
  - Transmission:
    • DMA packet(s) to the receive buffer
  - Reliability: return an ACK or NACK
  - Completion:
    • Generate a receive event when a message is completed
Design Challenges

- Topology Management
- Communication processing
- Reliability
• **Group Topology needs to be created in advance**
  - Fast decision on destination and communication state access
  - Needs to be distributed and scalable
    • Each maintains only a table of the communicating processes
    • Reduce topology information, reduce state maintenance
• **Binomial tree is an ideal choice**
  - Scalable, $2\times \log N$ of entries to maintain for topology
    • $\{i \mod N: 0 \leq j \leq \log N\}$
  - Shared by many collectives including barrier and broadcast
  - Easy bit shifting for topology manipulation
Buffer Management

- System (Host/NIC) buffer is needed
  - Temporary buffering of unexpected packets
  - Data assembly/aggregation at the NIC
  - only two sets of buffers are needed for its synchronous nature

```
Op #0

rotate

Op #1

send buffering          recv copying
```
Communication Processing
- Concurrent Broadcast

• Each node needs simple and fast method to
  - Broadcasting its own data
  - Forwarding packets that originated from others
• Introducing a flag(i,j) for each packet
  - i being the rank of the originator in the group
  - j the log of the last hop distance
  - Next destination: {\|myrank - i\| + 2^j}
Communication Processing
- Recursive Doubling

- Double the data recursively through pairwise message exchange
- Have benefits of aggregating small packets into larger packets
- No benefits for packets larger than MTU
Reliability

• **GM** uses sender-driven retransmission based on send records for each packet.

• Propose a receiver-driven retransmission per collective operation, assuming packet corruption is rare
  - NACK to parent/root when not receiving expected packets in time, larger timeout for sentinel purpose
  - Save the acknowledgement packets in normal cases
  - Reduce the resources needed for send-records

• **Concurrent Broadcasting**
  - Use a bit-vector to keep track of incoming packets
  - Work for message sizes up to one-packet
Implementation

- Based on GM-2.0.3
- Initialized group topology beforehand
- Introduced a new API, gm_gossip()
  - Separate collective queue for collectives
  - Concurrent broadcasting + Recursive doubling
  - Add gm_gossip_recv_event for completion notification
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Performance Evaluation

Experiment Testbed:
- Myrinet PCI64B cards
  - 133MHz LANai 9.1 processor
  - 2MB SRAM
- 16 ports of a 32 port switch
- Dual-SMP 1GHz Pentium IV
Experimental Results

- Latency
- Bandwidth
- Scalability
- Low CPU utilization
- Two NIC-based algorithms are evaluated
  - NIC-RD: Recursive Doubling
  - NIC-DB: Concurrent Broadcasting
NIC-RD performs the best for Small messages, being able to aggregate messages into larger packets.

NIC-CB performs the best for large messages, being able to forward packet and reduce copying cost across PCI-bus.
- Both NIC-RD and NIC-CB provides better bandwidth than the host-based all-to-all broadcast for large messages.
- NIC-CB suffers for small messages because of processing $O(N^2)$ packets, but performs the best for large messages with benefits of packet forwarding.
For small messages, NIC-RD scales the best for being able to aggregate packets.

For large messages, NIC-CB performs the best.
CPU utilization for host-based operation is high for the need to participate in polling and forwarding of intermediate messages.

With NIC-based all-to-all broadcast, it is low since host CPU only needs to post and later check for the completion of all-to-all broadcast operation.
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Conclusions

• Characterized the challenges to efficient NIC-based all-to-all broadcast operations
• Proposed and designed two algorithms to overcome the constraints of NIC-based operations
• Implemented scalable and high-performance NIC-based all-to-all broadcast and have it integrated into a NIC-based collective protocol over Myrinet/GM
Future Work

• Evaluate the scalability of NIC-based all-to-all broadcast on large-scale systems
• Exploit the benefits of NIC-based All-to-All broadcast and the NIC-based collective package to applications or higher communication libraries
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